

Present: Daniel[†], Pieter^{*}, Jan N^{*}, Lidija, David f^{*}, Miroslav D
Jan C, Abdel UR, Hannah^{*}, David e, Eric y, Cosmin
Jan C, Eisaku^{*}, Nano, Mirvat. aS, John K,
218. 244. 140. 110

Cosmin. S/A reviews

- * LIP CA reviewed and now OK (Darsoly).
- * UUNET no response yet. → new method.

New process:

- ✓ The MPEN CA has a complete success with a single joint meeting. Also Lidija was happy, change of system was needed.

was very nice experience. 2 hrs. After the S/A changed machine and there were some, new resolved, perl issues.

Keep disk of old machine. → secure destruction needed. MILSPEC destruction for key material.

Key length for EEC's ~~is~~ ^{should} new 2048 bit. from now on. pending confirmation.

⊛ Worth sticking with the new process. ⊛

- Polish Grid CA also worked with multiple meetings to be confirmed by Pawel and Fezza later.

⊛ Formal declaration of conformance at next plenary meeting or mailing list. (2-week).

For the urgent ones (including MPEN): start all in parallel and hoping enough react.

UUNET, TSCGFANS, BYGCA, GridPK, KERN/NIIF.

Grid PK: * need to update the CSR process to get rid of (keygen = self-audit first?)

- * CSR generation tools by CESNET using LuaScript.
- * SHA-1 root migration. already done! :)
- * prepare → final by Jan. 2023.

Audit ref: assurance level + PKI tech guidelines

2

(ACT) Fix access to trusts for PKI first.

PKI auth anyway → anyway is easy! :)

COFFEE

SHA-1 Self-signed Roots

RAL may have an RM support contract. or CERN

it's not a CABF thing.

SHA-2 migration for IGF. All EEC's are sha-2, but should
be pressure the roots.

steps: - ask RM first?

- just do re-issuance if you can! → send doc.

- what happens if you add our roots to p11-kit.

See tickets from OSG and STRAS. And ask Maarten L.

(ACT) Contact SHA-1 ER's? David G. to contact all.

- also check intermediate's (John U)

LUNCH.

CA/BF Joint Trust issues:

* we do not have influence over all software, so suggestion
on #10 has only limited effect. Generic open source projects
would not follow. Would need evaluation.

* This is an issue only intel telcom world (i.e. ≤ 2026).

* Is there a place to start using the new paradigm.
e.g. in storage access only leading at transport-only trust.

↳ "SB" style services first, exclusively w/ tokens + transport
trust.

(→) David C + Alistair Den-hurst. / RAL.T1
+ Maarten L.

S/MIME - TCS/InC short notice?

only affects TCS/InC → only different root.

- * send new CP/CPS.
- ✓ general threat is OK!

Deuk.

Membership: XSEDE ended, Deuk now representing ACCESS.

UTF-8 → encoding can be ASCIIified.

software still does not work w/ non-ascii

OSSL ← BomgCastle continues.

use BR / OR only, and national representation. Should be fine, even Settygo can do it (still).

EV is out of scope, luckily.

TAGPMA meetings!

NOT BAN Q1A2 2022 → Bloomington.

TechEx / FIM4R / TAGPMA in Denver.

panel on Tuesday afternoon "IATA" sessions.

Trusted (IGTF) lists of token issuer; based on self-assessment.

- APOPS GO71

+ assurance.

and then list of end-points + metadata (digest, policy urls?)

→ Deuk, Dave, ...

alternative draft OIRCFed is there.

use JSON w/ some metadata. - align w/ OIRCFed. !

(REC)?

SHA-1 for TAG → incl. Digital also for new inter mechanisms.

1642 end

Eisaku / PP

Present: Adel, David C, Jan C, Lidija, Miroslav D, ^{#4}
Jan N, Eisaku, Maarten, Davele, Jelle, David,
Hannah, Alistair Dewhurst,
Mirvat, Jan C, Licia F, Marcel, Tom D,
Maarten L., Schönk

- x ASGCCA still acting as catch-all
- + 10 active members.
- x 31st ASGCCA PPA in week of March 19, 2023. (ISGC)

x OAuth-SSHD ↔ oide-agent (✓) for G Farm storage + compute
 ↳ based on keycloak.
 ↳ ~~availability~~ improvements now use oide-agent from UIT. ✓ (+Patty!)

x HPCI will collaborate with CTA to transfer files from PIC to HPCI
 now using GridFTP and FTSS.

@PIC, client auth is with TCS G4 cert. (which is name-unique)
 auth N of FTSS's client / robot

Gaku Nin → natl. federation.

to address assurance issues for research, a wg on "proxies" has
 been established "Orthros" → also linked to Govt ID, ORCID, etc
 link to ARC BPA? AEGIS? ↳ account linking!

(Assurance JAL2 sought)

(*) LINK Eisaku and Christen on AEGIS membership.

(Indigo IAM v2 is not there yet)

David C Splitting trust ... in a token landscape.

sharing trust. → time line for tokens differs per community.

DUNE wants to make more rapid progress than just WLCG.

separate trust stores. → at the same time as SW migration?

GridFTP is going away at some point, but some APE systems still use it. In the US, this is no longer needed. Globus online off web DRY.

not all communities move at the same pace.

(*) not invest too much in "other systems" model and ASD

back also to taskforce on tokens to look for examples where there are current issues.

transport and token trust are separated in tokens.

and then DCV is fine for transport.

Tokens then need to be trusted.

access to storage should move to standardized tokens. to translate IAM to proprietary object store providers.

plugin approach in GFL, FTS, and Rucio to translate

our SW to their own system (e.g. on APE systems in US).

TrustList. Moments: do better job on running proper IAP's.

that good assurance.

using self-assessment and peer reviews.

"WLCG as a whole then needs to be 'happy' with the issuer, with IGTF doing that for the RPs."

assurance levels per issuer can be multiple, it's about the procedures.

single list, with metadata. in JSON (OIEC fed spec).

(*) need a EUGRID PNA guideline on how to get in.

↳ efficient and quick, and clear.

"few weeks".

inserting token resource urls: now manual copy-paste.

✓ how to configure as HTCondor CE → one line in a config file

common software? JSON → automate also the selection.

+ tool to select from it. (python trickery?)

DS // 8/71: intro slides.

Hannah: Indigo IAM integrated with CERN SSO. Now using CERN accounts.

CERN SSO → IAM → token + LoP → RP.
↑ experiment ↙ HR in-person F2F

deploy issues on OpenShift. This is shared, for now.

look into separation.

Andrea C went through it and it looked OK.

Tom D: also IAM in UK-IRIS.

more federated identity use in IRIS.

otherwise similar to Hannah.

Hannah: SIMPLE where possible.

make a spreadsheet (like SCI).

review by pairs to learn from each other as well.

but complete quickly.

trustworthy news is about the AP, not the upstream IdP's.

9071/1215. (see Google sheet)

- AN-1 has broader scope: 9026 / 9069 as well. actually broader than issuer.

- Bug in 9071 AN1.3 "for sbb & attr."

Trust list for issuers:

- single list, since.
- no sep. by assurance, since we have amr + acc.
- based on 9071, since
- SSON, inspired by OICFed.

EOSC WAI federation based on SAML for now. But OIC provider trust needed for cross-provider access.

New WAI guideline on remote token introspection.
"go verify the token at the issuer".

mechanism now being defined, separate doc on trust issues.

members of the federation are the proxies, not the clients.

{

- SSON issuers.
- SSON web keys.

 } → to be fetched by all WAI proxies.

allow proxies to see a common trust needs.

The IETF list could add "trust marks" and Entity Categories.

⊗ and aggregate all these lists, from EOSC and elsewhere.

for now, adding 9071 may add more hurdles than EOSC.

add trust marks (EC's) as labels in metadata.

- filter based on spolicy-urls in the SSON list.

subset of OICFed:

- security-contact
- policy-urls
- use github PR's to add to the list, with a review process? Should be relative simple.

Chris

#0

SSON (and SAML) should be generated from submitted metadata.

(a bit like PEER?) or in pyFF.

we can define the SSON format regardless of the ECs and trust marks.

IGTF to "eat" the EOSC AAI fed level. and filter tags.
other regions can do the same, and then have a grass-roots OIC fed.

(ACI) On SSON format: AppInt to announce to IGTF.

Also the EOSC AAI fed trustmarks need to be curated. but this has not been discussed yet.

* no curation process defined yet, (only automatic, for SAML only).
(either adding, or removing it) → no governance yet.

a lot should also be happening on the national level. with local hubs (like SRAM in NL) so that it can be distributed.

→ Initial review.

Present: Eischen, Jan N, Hannah, Maarten K, Sale, David G, David L.

Udoes: Jan C, Erney, Adiel, Miroslav D, David C, Mirvat AS, Tom Dach, Lidija M.

EnCo

Maarten K. <see slides> EnCo as linking pin, bringing support to a range of eScience and federation / education ecosystem.

- edugain Security Handbook.

- FIM4R on Sunday Dec. 4th.

QNS: 1 Jan 2023 → 31 Dec 2024 (2yr).

topics: trust policies for token issuers: process for listings.

OIDC fed.

Snctfi and proxies: formalize abit to foster trust for InCommon?
AppInt.

needs a concrete use case for all of them.

My Academic ID proxies also for Erasmus in scope?
register via websites that all are behind one proxy.

incommon is hesitant since they feel they loose control w/a proxy...

↳ Snctfi v2 in QNS to get this better trusted.

! "Trust Mark"!

For QNS → CERN will keep collaborators even if not directly funded.

(Marcus & Mirche may help) Hannah will remain involved but is pressed for time of course.

SCCC is marginal

OIDC fed based on list of resuers.

* End of QN4-S is near, in San. Marine will be back as well.

* EnCo and ISG@ - security workshop.

- submission of tabs before Oct 31st.

"What did EnCo QN4 achieve?"

* "A holistic view of enabling eSc. collab. through networking & federation".

* + Snctfi v2 talk.

Is this trust work actually tangible?

- ④
- write down
 - link to REGIS.
- } actionable + operational output.

scalability of trust, Danbar, WLCG (4 authors) vs. EOSC.

EOSC will have >> 150 partners. Then procedures and transparency are needed.

WLCG has lots of breadcrumbs [from Leipzig].

④ engagement: EuroHPC!

- non-web.
- OIBC-agent. / MarcustH.

|| FIM4R: requirements in all the new communities, and use this as input to EnCo. And then be more concrete.

Next VC: 1400 CET Nov. 2nd EnCo.

COFEE

Assurance & FIM4R.

"Middle Thing" → marking process (based on RCFMP discussion).

Add intro slide/paper beforehand.

Flagging assurance: even if you implement RPF @ the IdP, there is too much reading to do for risk-averse IdP's.

One cannot track RPF adoption, since it's assertion-based.

f-trails is probably too limited to measure this, since it does not list attributes.

Driving adoption: NIH in the US requires it. DFN also starts introducing it. Now moving to RPF in Germany.

RPF via CERN account linking + home IdP? Needs quite some work!

FIM4R Agenda: last call also to FIM4R-list. (std has already gone out).

Dave K. WISE: in-person meetings are much more useful to get real work done.

US participation has dropped a bit. Move to Trusted CI? WISE HUP would be useful for them.

Now that ACCESS has started: better funded effort? could be in Bloomington @ NSFCSS. How to engage better w/ the Americans.

ISGC Security day:

- linking OpSec & federation better!
- + Australian proxy op + GaluNin proxy!
- * programme early, based on Denver topics, and from February FIMLR in Europe then.

2

OpSec + Federation:

- bring communities closer together.
 - it's more than just info sharing.
 - joint exercising a federation scenario, (like the Alessandro exercise in Taipei).
 - Romani's CSC/Sec challenge in Federation context.
- but meet in the middle finding true federation model.

bridging the chasm that currently still faces GN4-*

(*) Discuss scenario at GN43 - NPS all hands!

No SCI updates now. long term convergence on SCI v3 how best to organise is for the WISE workshop.

Lunch

Scho's Soapbox // Jens had suggested a talk, but that now
is a soapbox!

+SS = JK!

Upgrading to SHA2 - but how paranoid?

the offline machine now spawned an HSM w/a push
mechanism, cat esc. rl. ac. ule.
user certs are slowly falling, but hosts went up!

2B- exists in two variants, but the Root is SHA-1 only for now.

(ACT) Remove 2B from UkeSec. Root namespaces/signing policy.

but for a broken SHA-1, if it's broken then you can just create
arbitrary content → only effective resolution is on the RP
side in their software.

the risks for SHA-1 are not on the CA side.

For VOMS servers. add --skipissue.

Phantom is too early. SHA-3 too fresh. EC should be throughout.

(ACT) ~~Drop~~ Cert prod are SHA-1. → could be withdrawn.

TCS impact? n Ule. → changing!

Option #2 seems good. Post @@ has even issues for
main candidate.

SHA-256 (✓)

And use '--skipca'.

Next meeting. { 19TF+ Feb 13 afternoon → Wed 15 morn.
FIM4R Wed 15 afternoon → Thu 16th